IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

NEW CINGULAR WIRELESS PCS, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

KENT COUNTY

Sussex County Courthouse

1 The Circle

Race and Market Streets

Georgetown, DE

No.

06-334

and

KENT COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF

PLANNING SERVICES

Kent County Administrative Complex

555 Bay Road Dover, DE

Defendants.

DISTRICT OF DELAWARI

COMPLAINT

New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC ("Cingular" or "Plaintiff") asserts the following claims against Kent County (the "County") and the KENT COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING SERVICES (the Department"):

The Parties

- 1. Cingular is a limited liability company formed under the laws of the State of Delaware with a registered address of 2711 Centerville Road, Suite 400, Wilmington, Delaware, and its principal place of business at 15 E. Midland Avenue, Paramus, NJ 07652.
- 2. The County is a political subdivision of the State of Delaware located in Kent County, Delaware. As such, it is a citizen of Delaware.

3. The Department is an instrumentality of the County created pursuant to 9 <u>Del. C.</u> §§ 6913 and 6917, with its principal place of business in Kent County, Delaware. As such, it also is a citizen of Delaware.

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 4. Jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is founded upon: (a) 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1337, with respect to Count I, which is a dispute arising under the Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 U.S.C. § 332(c); (b) 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343.
- 5. Venue is proper in the District of Delaware under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), because the property affected is in, the acts complained of occurred in and the defendants are located in this district.

Background

- 6. Cingular provides personal communications services ("PCS") over a network of wireless telecommunications facilities, pursuant to a license from the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC"). PCS is a new generation of wireless technology that uses digital transmission to improve the quality, reliability and variety of communications services.
- 7. Under the Act and FCC regulations, Cingular operates its wireless telephone service for the general public as a common carrier.

PCS Digital Technology

8. Portable telephones using PCS digital technology operate by transmitting a very low power radio signal between the telephone and antennas mounted on towers, poles, buildings or other structures. The antennas feed the signal to electronic radio devices housed in equipment cabinets, where the signal is connected to telephone lines and then routed anywhere in the world.

535753.1 5/19/06 - 2 -

An array of antennas and their equipment cabinets are commonly known as a cell site, and the surrounding area they serve is called a cell.

- 9. In order to provide continuous service to a PCS telephone user, there must be a series of overlapping cells in a grid pattern approximating a honeycomb. Otherwise a PCS telephone user could not have an uninterrupted conversation when traveling throughout a given territory. If the user enters an area that is not within a functioning cell, the customer will experience unreliable service including frequent inability to place or receive calls, dropped calls, and interrupted, inaudible or unintelligible communications.
- 10. Cingular's engineers use complex computer programs to complete a propagation study, which shows where a cell site needs to be located within a cell, based on the boundaries of the cell, the topography of the land, physical obstructions and other factors.
- 11. In order for the entire system to be operational, there must be enough cells with properly placed cell sites installed and functioning so that complete coverage can be realized.

 Because of the low power of portable telephones, the distance from such a telephone to a cell site needs to be relatively short, often less than two miles. Only when the entire system is operational will a PCS telephone user have uninterrupted service while traveling throughout a given territory.

The Signal Gap & The Monopole

12. Within this area of Kent County, along Route 8 to the west and north of Dover, DE, there is a significant gap in coverage. In order to provide PCS telephone service to these areas, Cingular proposed to erect a telecommunications monopole ("Monopole") on certain lands of Myron T. and Beverly H. Steele located at 2898-2998 W. Dennys Road, Dover, DE. The Monopole would consist of a 140 foot high cylindrical steel pole containing an array of antennas

535753.1 5/19/06 - 3 -

at the top, connected to equipment cabinets which would be located at the base of the Monopole. The Monopole is the least intrusive means of closing a significant coverage gap.

13. The Monopole would be located in an "AR" (Agricultural/Residential) Zoning District. Such facilities are permitted pursuant to a Special Use Exception, under Section 205-360 of the Kent County Code (the "Code"), where the Monopole proposed to be erected will be located in a residential zoning district.

Cingular's Application Is Rejected

- On April 11, 2006, Cingular filed an application with the Department requesting a 14. Special Use Exception to erect the Monopole (the "Application").
- 15. The Department rejected the Application by letter dated April 19, 2006 (the "Rejection Letter"), stating that "the subject site for for the proposed telecommunications tower fails to meet the location requirement set out in §205-360 (A)(1)(a)(4) of the Kent County Code...Specifically, the proposed tower could not be positioned on the parcel in such a manner as to be 1,000 feet from all property lines and still maintain a distance of 1,500 feet from any dwelling located on adjoining property." A true and correct copy of the Rejection Letter is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

The Telecommunications Act of 1996

In 1996, Congress passed the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the "Act"), Pub. 16. L. No. 1040104, 110 Stat. 56, codified throughout Title 47 of the U.S. Code. The goal of the Act is to "provide a pro-competitive, deregulatory national policy framwork designed to accelerate rapidly private sector development of advanced telecommunications and information technologies and services...by opening all telecommunication markets to competition...." H. R. Conf. Rep. No. 104-458, at 206 (1996).

535753.1 5/19/06

17. Section 704 of the Act governs federal, state and local government oversight of the placement and erection of "personal wireless service" facilities such as the Monopole that

was the subject of Cingular's Application. The Act provides in pertinent part as follows:

(i) The regulation of the placement, construction, and modification of personal wireless service facilities by any State or local government or instrumentality thereof --

* * *

(II) Shall not prohibit or have the effect of prohibiting the provision of personal wireless services.

* * *

(iii) Any decision by a State or local government or instrumentality thereof to deny a request to place, construct, or modify personal wireless service facilities shall be in writing and supported by substantial evidence contained in a written record.

* * *

(v) Any person adversely affected by any final action or failure to act by a State or local government or any instrumentality thereof that is inconsistent with this subparagraph may, within 30 days after such action or failure to act, commence an action in any court of competent jurisdiction. The court shall hear and decide such action on an expedited basis.

47 U.S.C. § 332(c)(7)(B).

COUNT I TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT

- 18. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 17 above.
- 19. The Rejection Letter written by the Department does not comply with the Act, nor did the Department convene a hearing or otherwise develop a written record against which the Application could be considered.
- 20. The Rejection Letter violated Section 704 of the Act because the Decision is not supported by substantial evidence in a written record, contrary to 47 U.S.C. § 332(c)(7)(B)(iii).

21. The County and the Department violated Section 704 of the Telecommunications
Act of 1996 because the denial of Cingular's Application had the effect of prohibiting the
provision of personal wireless services. 47 U.S.C. § 332(c)(7)(B)(i).

COUNT TWO - PREEMPTION-COMMERCE CLAUSE

- 22. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 21 above.
- 23. In the Act, Congress created a statutory framework under which the provision of PCS is regulated. The Act proscribes any action by a State or local government entity that prohibits the provision of personal wireless services.
- 24. The Act further requires that any decision of a State or local government entity denying an application "shall be in writing and supported by substantial evidence contained in a written record."
- 25. Section 205-360 (A)(1)(a)(4) the Code requires installation of a monopole "in such a manner as to be 1,000 feet from all property lines and still maintain a distance of 1,500 feet from any dwelling located on adjoining property." The Department used Section 205-360 (A)(1)(a)(4) to avoid the specific duties imposed on it in Section 332(c)(7)(B). Section 205-360 (A)(1)(a)(4)'s imposition of fixed and arbitrary restrictions on the construction of monopoles, in derogation of the policies and standards for the provision of PCS embodied in the Act, is preempted by the Act.
- 26. Section 205-360 (A)(1)(a)(4) of the Kenty County Code violates Article I, Section 8 of the Constitution of the United States, as it imposes an unreasonable burden on interstate commerce in relation to the putative local benefit.

535753.1 5/19/06 - 6 -

COUNT THREE - DUE PROCESS

- 27. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 26 above.
- 28. The Act requires that any action by a State or local government denying an application to place, construct, or modify personal wireless service facilities "shall be in writing and supported by substantial evidence contained in a written record."
- 29. The County and the Department used Section 205-360 (A)(1)(a)(4) to avoid the specific requirements of Section 332(c)(7)(B). The refusal to allow Cingular to present its application, develop a record on which the application could be meaningfully and properly evaluated and to convene a hearing on that application denied Cingular its substantive and procedural due process rights.

WHEREFORE, Cingular respectfully requests this Court find Section 205-360

(A)(1)(a)(4) invalid and unconstitutional, reverse the Rejection Letter, remand the matter to the County and the Department for development of a written record and a full hearing on Cingular's Application consistent with the Act.

SAUL EWING LLP

Michael Bonkowski, Esquire (No. 2219)

222 Delaware Avenue

P.O. Box 1266

Wilmington, DE 19899-1266

Telephone: (302) 421-6863 Facsimile: (302) 421-5869 mbonkowski@saul.com

Attorney for Cingular Pennsylvania, LLC

DATED: May 19, 2006

EXHIBIT A





County

Department of Planning Services Division of Planning

MICHAEL J. PETIT de MANGE, AICP Director

PHONE 302/744-2471 FAX 302/736-2128

SARAH E. KEIFER, AICP Division Head

April 19, 2006

Ms. Pamela J. Scott Saul Ewing Attorneys at Law P.O. Box 1266 Wilmington, DE 19899-1266

Re: Application of Cingular for Conditional Use and And Site Plan Approval – 2898-2998 W. Dennys Road, Dover, DE

Dear Pam,

Enclosed please find the Application for Conditional Use Site Plan approval, the check which was submitted in the amount of \$636.00, the copy of the site plan, and the Engineer's Report which accompanied your letter of April 11, 2006. These items are being returned to your office since the subject site for the proposed telecommunications tower fails to meet the location requirements set out in §205-360 (A) (1) (a) (4) of the Kent County Code.

Specifically, the proposed tower could not be positioned on the parcel in such a manner as to be 1,000 feet from all property lines and still maintain a distance of 1,500 feet from any dwelling located on adjoining property. If you have any questions regarding this matter, please feel free to contact this office.

Sincerely,

J. Kelly Crumpley Planner II

Encl.

cc: Michael J. Petit de Mange

Director of Kent County Planning Services

Case 1:06-cv-00334-SLR Document 1-2 CIVIL COVER SHEET

Filed 05/19/2006 Page 1 of 1

JS 44 (Rev. 3/99)

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

purpose	or minerally the errin	0001141 011	oon (DDD II (D I I C		_ , _ ,		,					
. (a)	. (a) PLAINTIFFS New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC					DEFENDANTS Kent County and Kent County Department of Planning Services						
b)	County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff: NEW (ASTIE, DE (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)					County of Residence of First Listed Defendant: Kent (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)						
·						NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.						
Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Chad J. Toms Saul Ewing LLP, 222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1200, P.O. Box 1266 Wilmington, DE 19899-1266 (302) 421-6863						Attomeys (If Know	m)	0 6 . 3	3 4		
I. BA	SIS OF JURISDI	CTIO	(Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	пі. Сі				CIPAL PARTIES (Plac			
□ 1 U	U.S. Government				Citizen of		PTF	nly) DEF	a Incorporated <i>or</i> Principal F of Business In This State		Box for Defer PTF 4	ndant) DEF 3
🗖 2 U.	.S. Government 4 Defendant	Diversity (Indic	ate Citizenship of Par	ties in Item III)	Citizen of	`Another State	□ 2	□ 2	Incorporated and Principal of Business in Another Sta		□ 5	□ 5
						Subject of a gn Country	☐ 3	☐ 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6 	□ 6
_	ATURE OF SUIT			Only) ORTS	nad a La ra da 201 a 201 a 21 a 21 a 21 a 21 a 21 a 2	FORFEITURE	/PENAI	TV I	BANKRUPYCY	l à	THER ST	ATUTES
150 Rec & & 151 Me 152 Rcc St 153 Rec of 160 Sto 190 Oth 195 Coi 196 Fra 210 Lar 220 For 230 Rec 240 Tor 245 Tor	rine Iler Act gotiable Instrument covery of Overpayment Enforcement of Judgment dicare Aet covery of Defaulted udent Loans covery of Overpayment Veteran's Benefits ekholders' Suits her Contract utract Product Liability	310 / 315 /	ONAL INJURY Airplaue Airplaue Product Liability Assanlt, Libel & Slander Federal Employers' Liability Marine Marine Product Liability Motor Vehicle Motor Vehicle Product Liability Other Personal Injury VILERIGHTS Voting Employment Housing/ Accommodations Welfare Amer. w/Disabilities - Other Other Civil Rights	PERSONAL INJUR 362 Personal Injury Med. Malpr 365 Personal Injury Product Lial 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Lial 370 Other Personal 371 Truth in Lendit 380 Other Personal Property Dama Product Lial PRISONER PETI 510 Motions to Var Sentence Habeas Corpus 530 General 535 Death Peualty 540 Mandarnus & C 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	ractice ractic ractice ractice ractice ractice ractice ractice ractice ractice	610 Agriculture 620 Other Food 625 Drug Relati of Propert 630 Liqnor Law 640 R.R. & Tru 650 Airline Reg 660 Occupatiou Safety/Hei 690 Other LABC 710 Fair Labor/Mgn & Disclos 740 Railway La 790 Other Labo 791 Empl. Ret. Security #	& Drug ed Seizure y 21 USC 's ck ss. al alth Standards at. Relatio nt. Reportianre Act thor Act r Litigatio Inc.	881	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal	410	Environmenta Energy Alloca Freedom of la Act	luenced and anizations edit vice mmodities. allenge 0 ry Actions Acts in bilization Act al Matters ation Act aformation e Determination Access
≭ l Or	RIGIN (Place iginal 2 occeeding		ourt A	emanded from ppellate Court Statute under which you	Re	instated or opened	Aı (S	nother pecify)		200 H	7 App Judg Mag Mag	eal to Districte from istrate
/I. CAUSE OF ACTION Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you a 47 U.S.C. Section 332(c), Teled Brief description of cause: Challeng services through denial of inst					lecomm ge to lo	unicationsA cal governm	ct of 1 ent ac	1996		<u> </u>	larin man	
	REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT			THIS IS A CLASS A		DEMAN			CHECK YES on JURY DEMANI	y if den D:	∐ Yès	omplaint:
/III. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See instructions): JUDGE									DOCKET NUMBER	∵ —>>	ŠS	
OR O	my 19,	2001	0	M	SIGNATU	RE OF ATTOF	RNEY C	OF REC	CORD		er ned	
CEIPT #	<u> </u>	AMO	UNT	APPLY	ING IFP		JUE	OGE _	MAG. Л	ЉGЕ		

AO FORM 8	5 RECEIPT ((REV. 9/04)

United States District Court for the District of Delaware

Civil Action No. 06c V 334

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT FOR AO FORM 85

NOTICE OF AVAILABILITY OF A UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE TO EXERCISE JURISDICTION

I HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGE REC	CEIPT OF COPIES OF AO FORM 85.
5/19/06	(Signature of Party or their Representative)
(Date forms issued)	(Signature of Party or their Representative)
	(Printed name of Party or their Representative)

Note: Completed receipt will be filed in the Civil Action